UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

DAVID DATE, JR. and ELLIOT HANDLER, Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiffs,

Case No. 07-CV-15474

vs.

Honorable Paul D. Borman Magistrate Judge R. Steven Whalen

SONY ELECTRONICS, INC. and ABC APPLIANCE, INC. d/b/a ABC WAREHOUSE,

Defendants.

Counsel for Plaintiffs:

Dani K. Liblang (P33713) LIBLANG & ASSOCIATES 346 Park St., Suite 200

Birmingham, Michigan 48009

(248) 540-9270

danil@lemonlawyers.com

Lance A. Raphael (IL 6216730) CONSUMER ADVOCACY

CENTER, P.C.

180 West Washington, Suite 700

Chicago, Illinois 60602

(312) 782-5808

lance@caclawyers.com

Alan Mansfield (CA 125988) CONSUMER LAW

GROUP OF CALIFORNIA 9466 Black Mountain Rd.,

Suite 225

San Diego, CA 92126

(619) 308-5034 alan@clgca.com

Brian S. Kabateck (CA 152054)

KABATECK BROWN KELLNER LLP

644 S. Figueroa St.

Los Angeles, CA 90071

(213) 217-5000

bsk@kbklawyers.com

Darren T. Kaplan (GA 2447381) CHITWOOD HARLEY

HARNES LLP

1230 Peachtree, NE, Suite 2300

Atlanta, GA 30309 (888) 873-3999

dkaplan@chitwoodlaw.com

Counsel for Defendants:

Clarence L. Pozza Jr. (P24168)

Thomas W. Cranmer (P25252)

Robert J. Wierenga (P59785)

Kimberly K. Kafalas (P64949)

MILLER, CANFIELD, PADDOCK & STONE, PLC

150 W. Jefferson Suite 2500

Detroit, Michigan 48226-4415

(313) 496-7756

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO MODIFY THE SCHEDULING ORDER

Plaintiffs, by and through their attorneys, hereby move to extend the fact-discovery cut off date and amend the September 16, 2010 Scheduling Order, stating as follows:

- 1. On December 27, 2010, this Court granted Plaintiffs' Motion to Extend the Discovery Cut-Off date, a request that was necessitated by, among other things, Defendants' rolling production of more than 600,000 pages of documents, which were produced in no discernible order and were not labeled as being responsive to any specific request, as well as their representations that they would be unable to produce their 30(b)(6) deponents on the dates such depositions were noticed for.
- 2. However, since the granting of Plaintiffs' request, Plaintiffs have still been unable to depose Defendants' witnesses given, among other things, Defendants' objections to the deposition notices and document riders. For instance, Defendants objected to producing all advertising for the televisions at issue that contained the phrase "1080p", "True 1080", "True1080", "Full 1080", and/or "Full HD 1080" at the deposition. To avoid delaying this case any longer by filing a motion to compel, Plaintiffs suggested a compromise: instead of producing such documents at the time of deposition, that Defendants merely supplement their discovery responses to comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 34(e)(2), which requires that in answering a document production request, a party "organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the request."
- 3. While Defendants agreed to this compromise in part, they further indicated that it would take no less than three weeks to complete such a task, meaning that Plaintiffs will not receive the amended responses until no earlier than late February.

- 4. As a result, and to ensure Plaintiffs have sufficient time to take Defendants' depositions with all relevant information available, Plaintiffs request that the fact discovery cut-off date be extended by two months.¹
- 5. Additionally, as a result of the previous change in deadlines, other dates in the scheduling order are in disarray. For instance, Plaintiffs' merit expert report deadline is February 14, 2011, Defendants' merit expert report deadline is March 10, 2011, and Plaintiffs' rebuttal expert report deadline is due April 18, 2011, all before the current April 20, 2011 fact discovery closure date and several months before the requested fact discovery closure date. As a result, Plaintiffs request that the scheduling order be updated and modified to correspond with the timing of the previous scheduling order.
- 6. On February 14, 2011, pursuant to Local Rule 7.1(a), there was a conference between attorneys or unrepresented parties and other persons entitled to be heard on the motion in which the movant explained the nature of the motion or request and its legal basis and requested but did not obtain concurrence in the relief sought because Defendants indicated that they did not have sufficient time to consider the request.

Based on the foregoing, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court extend the fact discovery cut-off date by two months, up and until Monday, June 20, 2011 and enter the proposed modified scheduling order, a copy of which is submitted with this motion.

Respectfully Submitted,

By: <u>/s/ Lance A. Raphael</u>

Previously, Plaintiffs asked Defendants if they would be amenable to extending the fact discovery cut-off date to 60 days after Defendant provided Plaintiffs with their amended discovery responses. However, because Defendants have not provided Plaintiffs with a firm date by which their production will be made, Plaintiffs now seek a two-month extension to ensure that Plaintiffs will have sufficient time to receive and review Defendants' responses, and to depose Defendants based thereon. Such a firm date is also necessary for entry of a modified scheduling order and should foreclose the need for any future requests for modification.

Dani K. Liblang LIBLANG & ASSOCIATES 346 Park Street, Suite 200 Birmingham, Michigan 48009 Alan Mansfield CONSUMER LAW GROUP OF CALIFORNIA 9466 Black Mountain Road Suite 225 San Diego, California 92126 Lance A. Raphael THE CONSUMER ADVOCACY CENTER, P.C. 180 West Washington Suite 700 Chicago, Illinois 60602

Darren T. Kaplan CHITWOOD HARLEY HARNES LLP 1230 Peachtree Street, NE, Suite 2300 Atlanta, GA 30309 Brian S. Kabateck KABATECK BROWN KELLNER LLP 644 South Figueroa Street Los Angeles, CA 90071

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on February 14, 2011, I electronically filed the foregoing *Plaintiffs' Motion* to *Modify the Scheduling Order* with the Clerk of Court using the ECF system that will send notification of such filing to all attorneys of record.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Lance A. Raphael

Lance A. Raphael The Consumer Advocacy Center, P.C. 180 W. Washington St., Ste. 700 Chicago, IL 60602

Tel: (312) 782-5808 Fax: (312) 377-9930

Email: lance@caclawyers.com